

To: Coursen, Robin[Coursesn.Robin@epa.gov]
Cc: Way, Steven[way.steven@epa.gov]; Murray, Bill[Murray.Bill@epa.gov]; Wharton, Steve[Wharton.Steve@epa.gov]; Williams, Laura[williams.laura@epa.gov]; Poetter, Joe[poetter.joe@epa.gov]; Vallejos, Cinna[vallejos.cinna@epa.gov]
From: Ostrander, David
Sent: Fri 8/21/2015 11:39:21 PM
Subject: Re: BOR Funding - GK support and Independent review

Can't we just put the pipeline funds on the removal IA?

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 21, 2015, at 4:46 PM, Coursen, Robin <Coursesn.Robin@epa.gov> wrote:

There were no remedial pipeline IAs to fund this. I can do a removal WAF for the existing removal IA to fund it and have Duc sign it Monday. There is money and appropriate work scope to cover it up to \$47K at the moment.

From: Ostrander, David
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2015 4:42 PM
To: Way, Steven; Murray, Bill; Wharton, Steve
Cc: Coursen, Robin; Williams, Laura; Poetter, Joe
Subject: Re: BOR Funding - GK support and Independent review

I think we used remedial pipeline funds to do the investigation. Not sure of status.

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 21, 2015, at 3:16 PM, Way, Steven <way.steven@epa.gov> wrote:

Apparently Dana S. is telling the BOR that they cannot use the funds to perform work they came down to do on August 14, but that was not what they did because the event had occurred. They apparently need

GK – Release: tech support (\$ 50) through December – construction safety support, engineering review of plans

GK – Release Technical Review / Independent (\$125K) - HQ

Steven Way

Federal On-Scene Coordinator

Emergency Response Unit

US EPA - Region 8

1595 Wynkoop Street

Denver, CO 80202

Office: 303-312-6723